

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose
Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh.Amarjit Singh Dhamotia,
Whistle Blower, District President
Ludhiana, NCAG, H No-60-35-P-330,
Street No-8, Maha Singh Nagar,
P.O Dhandari Kalan, Ludhiana.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Principal Secretary,
Local Bodies, Sec-35-A,
Pb, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Principal Secretary,
Local Bodies, Pb
Sec-35-A, Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1334 of 2020

PRESENT: Sh.Amarjit Singh as the Appellant
Sh.Harjit Singh, Draftsman, MC Ludhiana Zone-D for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 27.01.2020 has sought information regarding name of officer to whom the enquiry report (sent by DC Ludhiana after getting enquiry conducted by SDM-West) was marked by Principal Secretary Local Bodies – statement of both parties & fact finding report of officer – fine recovered from illegal colony and other information concerning the office of Principal Secretary Local Govt Punjab, Chandigarh. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the first appellate authority on 27.02.2020 which took no decision on the appeal..

The case last came up for hearing on 29.09.2020 through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. The appellant claimed that the PIO has not provided the information.

The respondent was absent. The Commission received a copy of letter dated 18.09.2020 through email from Assistant Town Planner(HQ) O/o Directorate Local Govt Department vide which the ATP informed the appellant that since the information relates to the office of MC Ludhiana, the appellant to get the information from MC Ludhiana.

The PIO-Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana was impleaded in the case and directed to provide the information to the appellant which pertains to their office. A copy of the RTI application was attached with the order for reference.

Hearing 10.12.2020:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. The Commission has received a reply from the PIO-cum-ATP, Zone-D-MC Ludhiana vide letter diary No.16562 on 18.11.2020 which has been taken on the file of the Commission.

The case is adjourned. To come up for further hearing on **01.03.2021 at 01.00 PM** through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated: 10.12.2020

(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

CC to PIO-Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana



Sh.Jagmohan Singh,
334, G.T Road, Salem Tabri,
Ludhiana.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Sub Registrar (East),
Transport Nagar, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o SDM,
Ludhiana(East)

Respondent

Appeal Case No. 660 of 2019

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
None for the Respondent

ORDER:

This order should be read in continuation to the earlier order.

The case has been earlier heard on 27.03.2019, 22.08.2019, 28.11.2019, 27.01.2020, 24.06.2020 & 17.08.2020 On the hearing on **24.06.2020**, the respondent present pleaded that the information has already been supplied to the appellant. The appellant was not satisfied.

Having gone through the reply and hearing both the parties, the following was concluded:

- Point-1 - The respondent says that the sought information is available on the website of the department and the appellant can get the information from the Sewa Kendra by providing specific vasika numbers. The appellant is directed to get the information from Sewa Kendra by providing particular vasika numbers.
- Point-2 - As per respondent, no separate index for registration of agricultural property is prepared and the information is available on the website. The PIO to provide the link and assist the appellant in getting the information from the website.
- Point-3 - The respondent says that no document is available. The PIO is directed to give this in writing on an affidavit that no such document is available. And If such a letter exists, what action has been taken, And in persuasion of the letter received from the Govt of Punjab, if any list has been prepared, it be provided.

On the date of hearing on **17.08.2020**, the appellant claimed that the PIO has not provided the information . The respondent was absent. The PIO was directed to comply with the earlier order of the Commission and provide the information to the appellant within 10 days otherwise the Commission will be constrained to take action against the PIO under section 20 of the RTIAct.

Appeal Case No. 660 of 2019

On the date of last hearing on **29.09.2020**, the appellant claimed that the PIO has not provided the information.

The respondent was absent nor had complied with the order of the Commission. The PIO was issued a **show cause notice under Section 20 of the RTI Act 2005 and directed to file reply on an affidavit**. The PIO was again directed to provide complete information to the appellant within 10 days of the receipt of order.

Hearing dated 10.12.2020:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. Both the parties are absent.

The Commission has received a reply of the PIO dated 03.12.2020 which has been taken on the file of the Commission.

The case is adjourned. To come up for further hearing on **01.03.2021 at 11.00 AM** through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana.

Chandigarh
Dated:10.12.2020

Sd/-
(KhushwantSingh)
State InformationCommissioner